DOI: 10.2225/vol10-issue1-fulltext-15

DNA removal from a purification process of recombinant hepatitis B surface antigen

Alejandro Beldarraín Iznaga*

Planta de Producción Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 537 2716022. Ext. 2119 Fax: 537 2713208 E-mail: alejandro.beldarrain@cigb.edu.cu

Mayda Candelario Frontera

Laboratorio de Biología Molecular Control de Calidad Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 5372716022. Ext 3253 Fax: 5372713208 E-mail: maida.candelario@cigb.edu.cu

Javier Rodríguez Uramis

Planta de Producción Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 5372716022. Ext 2119 Fax: 5372713208 E-mail: javier.uramis@cigb.edu.cu

José Blas Tejera González

Planta de Producción Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 5372716022. Ext 7279 Fax: 5372713208 E-mail: jose.blas@cigb.edu.cu

Yodelis Calvo Parra

Laboratorio de Biología Molecular Control de Calidad Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 5372716022. Ext 3253 Fax: 5372713208 E-mail: yodelis.calvo@cigb.edu.cu

Yoel Madruga González

Departamento de Producción de Hepatitis B Planta de Producción Centro de Ingeniería Genética y Biotecnología P.O. Box 6162 Habana 10600, Cuba Tel: 5372716022. Ext 2122 Fax: 5372713208 E-mail: yoel.madruga@cigb.edu.cu

Financial support: This research was supported by CIGB grants.

Keywords: DNA-clearance factor, process characterization, rHBsAg purification process, spiking experiments.

^{*}Corresponding author

 Abbreviations:
 AP: acid precipitation

 API: active pharmaceutical ingredient
 API-rHBsAg: active pharmaceutical ingredient of recombinant hepatitis B surface antigen

 C-D: concentration-diafiltration by tangential flow filtration
 DS: desalting

 GF: gel filtration
 IAF: Immunoaffinity

 NAE: negative anion-exchange
 PAE: positive anion-exchange

 PCR: polymerase chain reaction
 PP: primary purification

 rHBsAg: recombinant hepatitis B surface antigen
 RF: reduction factor

We studied the capacity of an API-rHBsAg purification process to eliminate DNA contamination from yeasthost cell. Firstly, was demonstrated consistency of manufacturing purification process to remove DNA, from $(3.9 \pm 1.9)10^8$ pg/dose in starting material to $(3.4 \pm$ 1.6) pg/dose, equivalent to 8.2 log in Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API), measuring DNA quantity in several unit operations along manufacturing process for twenty batches, five consecutive in 2000, 2001, 2003 and 2005. These values for API, lower than 10 pg/dose, accomplish current WHO requirements for Hepatitis B vaccines obtaining by recombinant DNA technology (WHO, 1989; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001a). The main removal factor for manufacturing process, equivalent to 6.4-log, was reached in negative anion-exchange chromatography. Then, the capacity of immunoaffinity chromatography and positive anionexchange chromatography to remove chromosomal DNA purified from yeast-host cell was assessed using a scaled-down chromatographic process which was shown to yield product meeting purity criteria set for the manufacturing process. Log₁₀ reductions for DNA through the immunoaffinity chromatography and positive anion-exchange chromatography were 7.3 ± 0.1 , and 5.8 ± 0.1 respectively. Overall, these studies indicate that total DNA clearance factor for API-rHBsAg manufacturing process was 19.4 log, 2.4 times higher than the real DNA contamination, indicating that APIrHBsAg manufacturing as described here have sufficient DNA reducing capacity to achieved a high margin of DNA safety.

Regulatory agencies states that each unit operation of a cGMP manufacturing process must be controlled to maximize the probability that the finished product meets all quality and design specifications. Biopharmaceutical manufacturers must ensure that their products are free from impurities like nucleic acids, viral particles, in-process intermediate, endotoxins, and host cell proteins. It is generally recognized that downstream processing operations used in purifying and manufacturing a biopharmaceutical product must be validated. The ability of the processing operations to remove specific substances must be demonstrated and in some cases, the ability to effectively and consistently remove a contaminant may be demonstrated by direct measurements of material sampled

from actual process, if analytical methods have sufficient precision and sensitivity (ICH, 1999).

DNA considered as cellular contaminant rather than risk factor which required removal to extremely low level and in biopharmaceutical productions, the principal source is host cell DNA. Fermentations feed streams can contain large quantities of nucleic acids that can be removed during purification process by passing a feed stream through chromatography resins. In this regard, regulatory authorities state that level of DNA from the host cell can be detected by direct analysis on the product considering that levels of 10-100 pg of residual DNA of host cell origin per dose of a parenterally administered biopharmaceutical is considered to be an acceptably safe level by most of the medicinal agencies (FDA, 1985; Griffiths, 1997; Trouvin, 1997). Nevertheless, in general guidelines for recombinant proteins, there is no precise specification of what an acceptable or tolerable level of contaminating DNA might be, but recommendations are given in some specific monographies as for recombinant hepatitis B vaccine (WHO, 1989; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001a; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001b). Moreover, it has been recently recommended that batch to batch testing should be performed to determine DNA content in biological products produced in cell lines, while the elimination of bacterial or yeast DNA may be validated and does not have to be checked routinely (Trouvin, 1997). Regulatory authorities challenge manufacturers to reduce the amount of residual DNA particular "well-characterized" in in biopharmaceutical products combining determination of DNA amount in the final product, calculation of clearance factor for each unit operation and demonstration of DNA removal in purification process by clearance experiments with spiked DNA (FDA; European Medicines Evaluation Agency (EMEA) or the World Health Organization (WHO)).

The Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology produces since 1990s an API for a yeast-derived vaccine providing protection against Hepatitis B infection and commercialized under trade mark HEBERBIOVAC HB (Pentón et al. 1992). The downstream process for rHBsAg purification, which includes several chromatographic operations, is potentially effective to remove DNA contamination and it has proven to be safe and efficacious

Parameters	DNA, pg/dose								
	АР Х10 ⁸	РР Х10 ⁸	NAE	IAF	DS	PAE	C-D	GF	API
Average	5.1	1.7	70.1	19.4	13.4	5.5	2.6	4.2	3.1
SD	2.7	1.1	39.3	10.5	7.45	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.5
RF	8.7	8.2	1.9	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.5
RF _{op}		0.5	6.4	0.6	0.2	0.4	0.3		
%P ^{reduc.}		5.4	77.6	30.3	12.4	34.4	44.8		
DNA, μg									
Average	15.2	3.1	88.6	11.3	10.5	3.8	1.6	2.4	2.1
SD	8.1	2.1	56.5	8.7	7.4	2.0	0.9	1.2	0.8

Table 1. DNA removal in API-rHBsAg manufacturing process in 20 batches, 5 consecutively produced in 2000, 2001, 2003 and 2005. Results are given in pg DNA per dose of vaccine (20 µg/protein) and per µg DNA.

Samples assayed were: AP: supernatant of Acid Precipitation; PP: material from primary purification; NAE: Negative Anion-Exchange chromatography; IAF: Immunoaffinity chromatography; DS: desalting gel filtration chromatography; PAE: Positive Anion-Exchange Chromatography; C-D: Concentration-Diafiltration by Tangential Flow Filtration; GF: Gel Filtration Chromatography by High Performance Liquid Chromatography; API: Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient; RF: reduction factor; RF_{op}: reduction log for specific operation; %_P^{reduc}: reduction percentage for specific operation.

(Pérez et al. 1994; Hardy et al. 2000). However, the effectiveness of manufacturing process to remove DNA contamination has not been studied yet.

This study describes the assessment of the DNA removal capacity of an API-rHBsAg manufacturing process combining direct DNA stepwise measurements along downstream purification stream with spiking experiments of two chromatographic separations. Finally, total clearance factor was calculated, demonstrating the high degree of security for an API-rHBsAg purification process to remove DNA burden.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Nylon Hybond-N filter, ATP 32 and X-ray the films were supplied by Amersham (Arlington Heights, IL, USA). Manifold apparatus was supplied by Biorad (Caxtonway, USA). The deoxyribonucleic tri-phosphate (dCTP, dGTP, dTTP), BSA fraction V and fragment Klenow of DNA polymerase I from *E. coli* and EcoRI were obtained from New England BioLabs (Inc. Beverly, MA, USA). All biological samples were taken after cellular disruption from yeast derived API-rHBsAg manufacturing process. Buffers were prepared according to manufacturing SOPs.

Assays

DNA determination by staining with ethidium bromide in agarose gel. DNA determinations by ethidium bromide (Boheringer Mannheim, Belgium) were carried out in agarose gels type I at 0.8%. Five microlitre of samples were always applied to gels and results visualized in a UVtransilluminator, were compared with a curve (500 ng, 200 ng, 100 ng, 50 ng) of well-known DNA concentrations from yeast (Sambrook et al. 1989). Samples from Acid Precipitation (AP), primary purification material (PP) for manufacturing process, and starting materials and washing for spiking experiments, were analyzed by this method.

DNA determination by dot-blot hybridization. Hybridization, which use probe too specific to measure total DNA, can detect DNA at the picogram level (Eaton, 1989; Per et al. 1993, DiPaolo et al. 1999). Specifically, we use a radioactivity probe with $\alpha dATP^{32}$ to quantify residual DNA from *P. pastoris* host, developed and validated to API-rHBsAg manufacturing process in correspondence to current regulations (Candelario and Calvo, 1999). A



Figure 1. Removal of DNA from rHBsAg downstream manufacturing process. The process operations evaluated were: AP: supernatant of acid precipitation; PP: material from primary purification; NIE: elution of negative anion-exchange; IAF: elution of immunoaffinity chromatography; DS: elution of gel filtration chromatography; PIE: elution of positive anion-exchange; C-D: Concentration-diafiltration by Tangential Flow Filtration; GF: Gel-Filtration by High Performance Liquid Chromatography; API: Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient. Dashed line represents the acceptance limit for API, 10 pg per 20 µg rHBsAg.

(a) Total DNA in μg.

(b) Relative DNA in pg/dose HBsAg.

standard curve was prepared with DNA from host cell, P. pastoris in 1/2 serial dilutions from 250 pg to 1.9 pg. Usually 100 µg of problem samples, reference material for API-rHBsAg and negative control, BSA fraction V, were always assayed. DNA curve, samples and controls were heated at 95°C by 5 min and applied to a Nylon Hybond N filter (Amersham Bioscience, USA), pre-wetted in 10X SSC, using a Manifold (Biorad, USA). The filters were submerged in a denaturizing solution (0.5 M NaOH, 1.5M NaCl) for 5 min and then, in a neutralizing solution (0.5 M Tris-HCl, 1.5 M NaCl) during 5 min. Then, filter was dried at 80°C during 10 min. DNA was fixed on filters by exposition at 254 nm during 3 min. Filters were prehydridized in bottle containing pre-hybridization solution (6X SSC, 50% Formamide, 5X Denhardt, 0.5% SDS and 100 µg/ml tRNA) for 2 hrs at 42°C. Hybridization was performed in a solution of 6X SSC, 50% Formamide and 0.5% SDS, using a probe plasmid digested pRSP3 EcoRI, which contains a repetitive sequence of yeast DNA. The membranes were incubated at 42°C during 16 hrs; washed twice during 15 min at 42°C with 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS and then with 0.2X SSC, 0.1% SDS. Results were obtained after X-ray exposition at -70°C during 3 days and comparing signal intensities of problem sample with DNA curve. Samples from Negative Anion-Exchange

chromatography (NAE), Immunoaffinity chromatography (IAF); Desalting by gel filtration chromatography (DS); Positive Anion-exchange chromatography (PAE); Concentration-diafiltration by tangential flow filtration (C-D); Gel filtration chromatography by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (GF) and Active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) for manufacturing process and eluted fractions from spiking experiments, were analyzed by using this method.

Other biochemical assays. The HBsAg concentration was measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) system (González et al. 1993) or by UV absorbance at 280 nm using an adsorption coefficient (1 mg/ml) of 5. Carbohydrates were determined by anthrone method according to Carney (1986), lipids according to Woodman and Price (1972). The concentration of mouse IgG was determined by a sandwich ELISA using a commercial (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) goat anti-mouse IgG (whole molecule), affinity-isolated antibody, adsorbed with human serum proteins. Purity was checked by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis at 12.5% performed according to Laemmli (1970). Afterwards, the gel were stained by with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 and analyzed by gel densitometry.

DNA purification

Chromosomal DNA was purified according to procedure described elsewhere (Sambrook et al. 1989). 50 ml of YPG culture (yeast extract 5 mg/ml, bacteriological peptone 10 mg/ml and glucose 2%) was inoculated with a yeast colony of P. pastoris and grown at 28°C during 24 hrs. Then, the broth was centrifuged at 8000 g during 5 min. Precipitate was resuspended in 10 ml EDTA 50 mM pH 8 and centrifuged again at 8000 g during 5 min. After that, cellular sediment was resuspended in 10 ml of purified and 1-ml of EDTA 500 mM, pH 9.5 and 250 µL of βmercaptoethanol was added. The broth was centrifuged at 6700 g during 10 min and cell sediment resuspended in 10 ml Sorbitol 1 M, EDTA 100 mM, pH 7.5. 100-µg/ml of zymolase was added and broth incubated during 1 hr at 37°C. After centrifugation at 4000 g during 15 min cellular sediment was resuspended in 10 ml Sorbitol 1 M. EDTA 100 mM, pH 7.5 and then centrifuged at 4000 g during 15 min at room temperature. Pellet was resuspended in 10 ml NaCl 0.14 M, EDTA 1 mM pH 7.5 and 500 µl of SDS at 20% was added. After a phenol treatment, 10 ml chloroform-isoamilalcohol 24:1 was added to upper phase. After 10 min of centrifugation at 8000 g, aqueous phase was precipitated adding 20 ml of cold absolute ethanol. The white precipitate was extracted with a plastic stick and resuspended in 1 ml of purified water. This material was treated with RNAase at 50 µg/ml and after phenolchloroform treatment was precipitated again with cold absolute ethanol. Finally, white precipitate was extracted with a plastic stick and resuspended in 1 ml of purified water.

Parameters	Immunoaf	finity chromato	graphy	Positive anion-exchange chromatography			
	Starting material	Manufacturing scale n = 5	Small scale n = 5	Starting material	Manufacturing scale n = 5	Small scale n = 5	
Yield (%)		> 50*	72.1 ± 2.8		> 70*	81.5 ± 5.4	
Purity (%)	41±3	> 80*	87 ± 3	89 ± 1	96 ± 1	95 ± 2	
lgG (%)		0.4 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1	0.21 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.05	0.08±0.02	
Carboh. (µg/dose)	568.9 ± 94.4	10.5 ± 5.6	4.5 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.4	3.3 ± 0.2	
Lipid (µg/dose)	534.2 ± 71.6	18.9 ± 5.2	24.1 ± 2.4	19.7 ± 3.4	18.1 ± 5.8	12.9 ± 1.8	
DNA (pg/dose)	70.1 ± 39.3**	19.4 ± 10.5**	12.4 ± 1.9	13.4 ± 7.3**	5.5 ± 2.2**	4.8 ± 1.1	

Table 2. Performance comparison between manufacturing scale and small-scale data for immunoaffinity chromatography and positive anion-exchange chromatography.

IgG: immunoglobulin leakage from immunoaffinity resin; Carboh: carbohydrate content per HBsAg dose; Lipid: Lipid content per HBsAg dose.

*Specification values for manufacturing process (Pérez et al. 1994; Hardy et al. 2000).

**Values obtained in present work (Table 1).

Control of DNA preparation

Concentration of purified yeast- DNA, diluted in purified water, was calculated according to equation:

 $[DNA] = Abs_{260nm} x Factor x Dilution$ [1]

where: [DNA], DNA concentration in μ g/ml; Factor = 50 μ g/ml.

Pure and nodegradated fractions with 7 mg/ml approximately were obtained free of proteins, solvent and RNA contaminant, measuring absorbance radio at 260 nm to 280, 270, 230 and 320 nm respectively (Laws and Adams, 1996). Molecular integrity and absence of tRNA was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis at 0.8%. Only one band was observed (results not shown).

Scale down considerations

The laboratory scale systems for DNA-clearance studies were performed at 0.1% of manufacturing scale, using wellknown principles of chromatography scale-down and varying columns diameters, process volumes, and volumetric flow rates (Sofer, 1996; Sofer and Hagel, 1997; Rathore et al. 2005). For immunoaffinity chromatography, experimental conditions were adjusted to maintain constant initial protein load per millilitre of gel and optimal diffusion time in correspondence to manufacturing scale; approximately 2 hrs (Pérez et al. 1994, Hernández et al. 2005). For Ion-exchange chromatography were maintained constant initial protein load, 1 mg per ml packed matrix, and lineal flow at 35.4 cm/h (Pérez et al. 1994). Five runs were performed on the scale down systems with and without DNA. Process outputs of chromatographic profile, product yield, contaminants and product purity were compared to manufacturing-scale systems values.

Chromatographic runs

Chromatographic runs were performed according to manufacturing procedures (Pérez et al. 1994). DNA removal by immunoaffinity chromatography was determined by spiking approx. 5.5 mg rHBsAg with 6 mg purified DNA, equivalent to 2.2 x 10⁷ pg/dose. Samples were applied to a 20 ml of immunoaffinity matrix (CIGB, Havana, Cuba) packed into a XK16/40 (Amersham Bioscience, USA). Binding buffer was 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.2. The chromatography was run at 16 ml/h flow rate. Elution buffer was 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, 3 M KSCN, pH 7.2.



Figure 2. Typical DNA curve and quantification of samples directly taken in several operations from API-HBsAg manufacturing process. The numbers 250, 125, 62.5, 31.3, 15.6, 7.8, 3.9, 1.9 pg of targeted host cell derived-DNA (*P. pastoris*) spotted onto nylon membrane and assayed as described in Materials and Methods.

After elution, samples for analysis were desalted by using 100 ml of G-25 M matrix (Amersham Bioscience, USA) packed into a XK26/40 column (Amersham Bioscience, USA). Desalting chromatography was run at 150 ml/min in 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.2.

For ion-exchange chromatography, 18 mg rHBsAg was spiked with 1 mg purified DNA, equivalent to 1.1×10^6 pg/dose, and applied to a 25 ml-DE-52 matrix (Whatman, England) packed into a XK26/40 (Amersham Bioscience, USA). Binding buffer was 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, pH 7.2. The chromatography was run at 190 ml/h flow rate. After 1-column volume washing with 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaCl, pH 7.2, the rHBsAg was eluted with 20 mM Tris/HCl, 3 mM EDTA, 400 mM NaCl, pH 7.2. Samples were taken for analysis without previous preparation. After each chromatographic run, the column was regenerated with 150 ml of 0.5 M NaOH during at least 12 hours and then reequilibrated with binding buffer.

Calculations

For further comparison, DNA quantities were expressed in picogram per adult dose of 20 µg rHBsAg according to:

$$pg^{DNA} / dose = \frac{DNA}{HBsAg} \times 20$$
 [2]

where DNA and rHBsAg represents it respective quantity.

The DNA log₁₀ reduction factor (RF) was calculated individually for each operation according to:

$$RF = \log \frac{DNA_{initial}}{DNA_{final}}$$
[3]

where DNA_{initial} is the starting DNA quantity in pg/dose and DNA_{final} is output DNA quantity after performing

purification operation. The total DNA reduction factor was calculated as a sum of the individual log reduction factor.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, we investigate DNA removal in manufacturing purification process of API-rHBsAg taking into account the potential ability of some operations to eliminate DNA contamination (Pérez et al. 1994). Figure 1 and Table 1, shown the results obtained during DNA-testing for twenty manufacturing batches, 5 consecutive released in 2000, 2001, 2003 and 2005.

After fermentation process and cellular disruption of yeast broth, the first downstream purification operation is an acid precipitation by adding HCl (Pentón et al. 1992). After acid precipitation (AP), DNA quantity is very high, (1.5 ± 0.81) x $10^9 \mu g$, equivalent to (5.1 ± 2.7) x $10^8 pg/dose$. These values can be reasonable in correspondence to a hundred kilograms of biomass processed during manufacturing fermentation process (Hardy et al. 2000). Significant DNA quantities should be removed after acid precipitation, however, the high viscosity in starting material from impossibilities cellular disruption precise DNA quantification. Nevertheless, values obtained from DNA determination in acid precipitation, equivalent to 8.7 log, could be considered as target DNA contamination to remove in downstream purification process (Figure 1 and Table 1).

The next step evaluated was a sequence of manufacturing operations called primary purification (PP), that include an ion-interaction with a diatomaceous earth matrix, tangential flow filtration to reduce volume by concentration and centrifugation (Penton et al. 1992). In these operations DNA quantity decrease to $(3.1 \pm 2.1) \times 10^8 \mu g$, equivalent to $(1.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^8 pg/dose$, which are not significant comparing these values to previous operation (Figure 2 and Table 2). In principle, these results were expected due primary purification is designed to eliminate carbohydrate,



Figure 3. Chromatographic profile of small- and manufacturing columns for immunoaffinity chromatography (a-b) and positive anion-exchange chromatography (c-d). Biological materials applied in both cases were from the same manufacturing batch with a charge equivalent to 1 mg/mL resin for immunoaffinity and anion-exchange chromatography respectively. Reg. Regeneration step.

(a) For immunoaffinity chromatography the small column is 1.6 cm diameter by 10 cm height.

(b) For immunoaffinity chromatography the manufacturing column is 20 cm diameter by 38 cm height.

(c) For positive anion-exchange chromatography the small column is 2.6 cm diameter by 5 cm height.

(d) For positive anion-exchange chromatography the manufacturing column is 30 cm diameter by 30 cm height.

host proteins and lipids contamination basically from process stream and increased HBsAg purity (Hardy et al. 2000). After primary purification we start to operate with chromatographic resins and detect DNA level by dot-blot hybridization expecting significant DNA removal to microgram levels.

Multiple assays have been developed to quantify low DNA impurities in biopharmaceuticals (Workman, 1995) such as DNA probe hybridization techniques involving radioactive (Eaton, 1989; Kuroda et al. 1988; Yonevama et al. 1989), biotinylated or otherwise modified probes, enhanced chemiluminescence, ECL (DiPaolo et al. 1999), PCR (polymerase chain reaction) and the total DNA Threshold assay (Per et al. 1993). Because DNA levels and matrix conditions vary during purification, residual DNA analysis in biopharmaceuticals can be very difficult and the methods have to be carefully validated before use. For manufacturing proposes, DNA is usually determined by DNA dot-blot hybridization methods or by a commercially available Threshold system. The hybridization methods are sensitive and specific but time consuming and difficult to validate. The Threshold Total DNA Assay is very sensitive and much easier to use. In contrast to the hybridization methods, it is not sequence specific. The hybridization method developed at CIGB for determination of DNA traces in manufacturing process of API-HBsAg is very specific for ours proposes, with very low detection limit, validated according to current regulations (Candelario and Calvo, 1999) and approved for WHO authorities (http://www.who.int/immunization standards/en/). А typical radiogram obtained using the dot blot methods is shown in Figure 2. It clearly showed the elimination of residual DNA during chromatographic purification process of API-HBsAg.

The first chromatographic purification operation is a negative ion-exchange chromatography by using an anion matrix, DE-52 (Whatman, UK) where DNA removal is very significant, decreasing from $(3.1 \pm 2.1) \times 10^8 \,\mu g$ in PP to 88.4 \pm 56.5 µg, equivalent to 70.1 \pm 39.3 pg/dose. Resulting values equivalent to 1.9-log demonstrate the efficiency of DE-52 resin to eliminate DNA, reaching a removal factor quite high, 6.4 log (see NAE in Figure 1 and Table 1). Anionic exchangers are very effective in binding DNA, because the highly charged DNA can bind to an anion exchange at ionic strengths at which proteins don't usually bind (Ng and Mitra, 1994; Sofer and Hagel, 1997). For this reason, the anionic-exchanges resins are considered as a good first purification step where the high content of DNA can increase the viscosity and decrease the efficiency of chromatographic column (Janson and Rydén, 1998). For these resins, cleaning factors equivalent to 3-6 log have been reported (Ng and Mitra, 1994; Sofer and Hagel, 1997; Sadana, 1998, Lightfoot and Moscariello, 2004).

The next chromatographic operation is an immunoaffinity chromatography (IAF) where DNA contamination still decreases to $11.3 \pm 8.8 \,\mu$ g, equivalent to $19.38 \pm 10.52 \,$ pg/dose. These values equivalent to $1.3 \,$ log, represent 30.2% of incoming DNA. Immunoaffinity chromatography like anion-exchange chromatography has been reported as a very effective to remove DNA (Ng and Mitra, 1994). In principle, we expect more DNA removal percentage; even reach consistently specification value for API, 10 pg/dose, although variation of rHBsAg quantity in production batches, relative low quantity of DNA in purified material

or detection limit for DNA assay, can be have significant influence in these results. After immunoaffinity chromatography, the material is desalted by gel filtration chromatography (DS) and practically no DNA removal effect was detected due basically to low resolution of chromatographic matrix used, Sephadex G-25M (Table 1 and Figure 1).

No significant removal was apparent in positive anionexchange chromatography (PAE) where DNA quantity decreases to $3.84 \pm 2.04 \mu g$, representing only 34.1% of incoming DNA. However, eluted material reaches consistently specification for API, $5.5 \pm 2.2 \text{ pg/dose}$ (Table 1 and Figure 1).

The last three operations, concentration-diafiltration (C-D), gel filtration by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (GF) and final desalting gel filtration chromatography to conform the Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API), conserve DNA quantity lower than the current specification (Table 1 and Figure 1). DNA mass remain close to 2 μ g with no significant difference. However, because concentration of rHBsAg in C-D is 3-10 times higher that the final two purification operations, GF and API, and DNA quantification is performed in the lower detection range of curve, we observe a lightly decreasing when DNA content is expressed as a radio per dose.

DNA quantity for final material, API, is 3.13 ± 1.46 pg/dose, lower than maximum allowable value according to WHO regulations (WHO, 1989; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001a). These results, beside fulfils a regulatory requirement, demonstrate the high effectiveness and consistency for API-purification process to eliminate DNA contamination from $(5.01 \pm 2.72)10^8$ pg/dose to 3.13 ± 1.46 pg/dose in API.

Despite previous results, the question related to real potentiality of API-rHBsAg purification process to remove DNA contamination has not been fully addressed so far. Considering that 10^{6} - 10^{7} pg/dose of DNA in starting material as a worst case, that correspond to those quantities before chromatographic purification, we performed spiking experiments at laboratory scale scaling down manufacturing operation for immunoaffinity and positive anion-exchange chromatography.

Qualification of scaled-down model for immunoaffinity and positive anion-exchange chromatography. The general approach towards qualification of a scale-down model is to run all operational parameters (inputs) at the centre of the operating range used at large scale (manufacturing production). The performance parameters (outputs) should be similar across scales (Sofer, 1996; Rathore et al. 2005). Taken into account previous consideration, we evaluate the comparability of the model

Table 3. Mass balance in DNA	clearance studies for	or immunoaffinity a	and ion-exchange	chromatography.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	Immunoaffinity Chromatography					
Fraction tested	DNA per fraction mg	DNA pg/dose	RF	Clearance		
Starting material	16.2 ± 4.5	$(2.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^7$	7.3 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 01		
Pass/Wash	18.8 ± 2.9	$(15 \pm 6) \times 10^7$	8.1 ± 0.2			
Elution	(6.2 ± 0.2) x 10 ⁻⁵	1.1 ± 0.0	< 0.1			
Regeneration	(1.3 ± 0.1) x 10 ⁻⁵	n.c	< 0.1			
	Positive Anion-Exchange Chromatography					
Starting material	1.0 ± 0.1	(1.1 ± 0.1) x 10 ⁶	6.0 ± 0.0	5.8 ± 0.2		
Pass/Wash	(1.9 ± 0.9)x10 ⁻⁶	n.c	n.c			
Elution	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$	1.8 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.1			
Regeneration	1.2 ± 0.8	n.c	n.c			

n.c: no calculated. Average and standard deviation values are reported for six chromatographic runs.

DNA removal from a purification process of recombinant hepatitis B surface antigen

by examining product elution profiles, at small and manufacturing scale, by analysis of chromatographic profiles and quality of purified material.

typical chromatogram for immunoaffinity А chromatography for both scales is shown in Figure 3, left. The feed load solution containing buffer and biological materials is compatible for loading the column, which is pre-equilibrated in buffer of similar composition (Material and Methods). Chromatographic resin is loaded at low flow rate (8 cm/h) with approximately 9 columns volumes of load. correspondence to an immunoaffinity In chromatography process, a high signal is observed corresponding to unbound material. The load is immediately followed with a wash buffer with approximately 3 column volumes and then the HBsAg elutes as a single peak collected from the stat of UV rise for 1.5 column volumes. The column is then regenerated by changing pH from basic to acidic solution before to re equilibration for next load. As is shown in Figure 2, left in both scales chromatographic profile are very similar and as would be expected unit operation provides excellent removal of host-cell impurities, including DNA with high HBsAg purity with no significant differences (Table 2).

A typical chromatographic separation for positive anionexchange chromatography in both scales is shown in Figure 3, right. All biological material is bounded to chromatographic resin at moderate flow rate (approx. 36 cm/h) with approximately 4 columns volumes. The load is immediately followed with a wash buffer with approximately 3 column volumes and then the HBsAg elutes as a single peak collected from the stat of UV rise for 1 column volume. The column is then cleaned and regenerated using a concentrated solution of KSCN and 0.5 M NaOH and stored in diluted ethanol between runs for microbiological control. As is shown in Figure 2, right in both scales chromatographic profile is the same and smallscale data are within the full scale range (Table 2).

These results suggest that current manufacturing scale immunoaffinity and positive anion-exchange process for purification of API-HBsAg, can be successfully scaled down with eluted product purity and yield comparable to samples manufactured at production scale. Therefore, the scales down models described were carried out as the basic for DNA-spiking experiment.

DNA removal in small scale spiking experiments for immunoaffinity and positive anion-exchange chromatography. To determine the DNA removing capacity of the immunoaffinity and positive anionexchange chromatography, spiking experiments were carried out at reduced scale under conditions equivalent to those used in the full-scale manufacturing process. In both cases, the resulting chromatogram and product recovery were consistent to those seen in the full-scale manufacturing process and small scale process without contaminant (Figure 2). For immunoaffinity chromatography, the starting material with $2.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^7$ pg/dose of DNA (7.3 ± 0.1 log) was applied onto the column (Table 3). DNA is largely removed during load and washing steps, consistent with an affinity chromatographic process, removing more than 99% of DNA contamination, equivalent to 8.1 ± 0.2 log. Eluted material with a highly pure rHBsAg, contain 1.1 ± 0 pg/dose DNA, less than 0.1 log detected by dot-blot hybridization assay, indicating that clearance factor for immunoaffinity chromatography is $7.3 \pm 0.1 \log$ (Table 3). Similar results for different contamination have been reported previously, where affinity matrices demonstrate high purification capacity, eliminating large quantities of impurities or contaminant, including DNA (Ng and Mitra, 1994; Rhemrev-Boom et al. 2001; Weller, 2000; Subramaian, 2002; Kelly et al. 2004; Lightfoot and Moscariello, 2004). These results beside fulfill DNA specification for API-HBsAg in correspondence to current regulations (WHO, 1989; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001a).

For positive anion-exchange chromatography, the starting material with $1.1 \ge 10^6$ pg/dose, equivalent to 6 log DNA, was applied onto the column and all biological material is bounded to chromatographic resin (Table 3). During washing, increasing NaCl concentration to 50 mM, very low quantity of DNA elutes from the column and due rHBsAg absorbance was close to zero; DNA quantity per dose of rHBsAg was not calculated. After elution, highly pure rHBsAg was obtained with low DNA contamination $(1.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ pg/dose}, \text{ equivalent to } 0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ log})$, detected by dot-blot hybridization assay. These results beside fulfil DNA specification according to current regulations, indicate that clearance factor for anion-exchange chromatography is $5.7 \pm 0.1 \log$, which are in correspondence with our previous observation (see above) and other reports where the potentiality of ionic exchange resin to eliminate DNA has been demonstrated (Dasarathy, 1996; Sofer and Hagel, 1997; Sadana, 1998; Rathore et al. 2003: Lightfoot and Moscariello, 2004). DNA completely elutes during cleaning operation with 0.5 M NaOH for 12 hrs at least, consistent with previous reports where combination of sodium chloride and sodium hydroxide were used for 100% DNA cleaning from ion-exchange resin (Dasarathy, 1996; Sofer and Hagel, 1997).

In summary, total DNA-reduction factor for API-rHBsAg purification process is 19.4 log, corresponding to 6.4 log for negative-anion exchange chromatography, 6.2 log for immunoaffinity chromatography and 5.7 for positive-anion exchange chromatography. This value is 2.4 times higher than the real DNA quantity to be removed form API-HBsAg process stream, 8.2 log (see above), demonstrating a high degree of security for manufacturing process. Although, our study has been limited to three chromatographic operations, there are, at least, two other that may remove DNA contamination, concentration-diafiltration by tangential flow filtration (C-D) and gel

Beldarraín, A. et al.

filtration by HPLC. However, these were not evaluated because satisfactory results were achieved.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The efficiency and consistency of a biopharmaceuticals purification process determines drug quality, including which specific types and concentrations of process contaminants may remain. From regulatory point of view, we achieved an essential requirement for API-rHBsAg to conform the commercial vaccine HEBERBIOVAC HB, demonstrating the robustness of manufacturing process to remove DNA in correspondence to current regulations (WHO, 1989; European Pharmacopoeia, 2001a). Results reported in this study are supported for more than 150 million dose released from 1992 up to date without any adverse event reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank colleagues from the Hepatitis B, In-Process Control and Quality Control Departments at CIGB for their technical assistance.

REFERENCES

CANDELARIO, M. and CALVO, Y. Puesta a punto y validación de un método para cuantificar trazas de DNA. Informe Técnico CIGB, 1999.

CARNEY, L.S. Proteoglycans. In: CHAPLIN, M.F. and KENNEDY, J.F. eds. *Carbohydrates Analysis: A Practical Approach*. Oxford University Press, Washington, 1986, p. 135-136.

EUROPEAN PHARMACOPOEIA. Hepatitis B vaccine (rDNA). *Council of Europe*, Strasbourg, 2001a, p. 9-12.

EUROPEAN PHARMACOPOEIA. Recombinant DNA technology, product of. *Council of Europe*, Strasbourg, 2001b, p. 18-26.

DASARATHY, Yamuna. A validatable cleaning-in-place protocol for total DNA clearance from an anion exchange resin. *BioPharm*, 1996, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 41-44.

DIPAOLO, B.; JI, X. and VENKAT, K. Validation of a chemiluminescent hybridization assay for quantitative determination of host cell DNA in clarified conditioned medium. *BioPharm*, 1999, vol. 12, no. 5, p. 38-48.

EATON, Leslie C. Quantification of residual *Escherichia Coli* DNA in recombinant biopharmaceutical proteins by hybridization analysis. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 1989, vol. 7, no. 5, p. 633-638.

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, USA (FDA). Points to consider in the production and testing of new drugs and biologicals produced by recombinant DNA technology. *Office of Biologics Research and Review*,

Center for Drugs and Biologics, Bethesda, MD, 1985. 13 p. Available from Internet: http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ptcdna.pdf.

GONZALEZ, A.; ALERM, A.; VEGA, I.; SALGADO, A.; VINGUT, J.L.; RAMIREZ, V. and GONZALEZ, T. Cuantificación del antígeno de superficie del VHB (HBsAg) en muestras biológicas con fines asistenciales y preparativos. *Biotecnología Aplicada*, 1993, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 104-105.

GRIFFITHS, E. The WHO expert committee on biological standardization in biologicals, 1997, vol. 25, p. 359-362.

HARDY, Eugenio; MARTÍNEZ, Eduardo; DIAGO, David; DÍAZ, Raúl; GONZÁLEZ, Daniel and HERRERA, Luis. Large-scale production of recombinant hepatitis B surface antigen from *Pichia pastoris*. *Journal of Biotechnology*, February 2000, vol. 77, no. 2-3, p. 157-167.

HERNÁNDEZ, Raúl; PLANA, Liuba; GÓMEZ, Leonardo; EXPÓSITO, Néstor; VALDÉS, Jorge; PÁEZ, Rolando; MARTÍNEZ, Eduardo and BELDARRAÍN, Alejandro. Optimization of the coupled monoclonal antibody density for recombinant hepatitis B virus surface antigen immunopurification. *Journal of Chromatography B*, February 2005, vol. 816, no. 1-2, p. 1-6.

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE FOR HARMONIZATION (ICH). Q6B: Specifications: Test procedures and acceptance criteria for biotechnological/biological products. (March, 1999, Switzerland). Geneva. Available from Internet: http://www.fda.gov/Cder/guidance/Q6Bfnl.PDF.

JANSON, Jan-Christer and RYDÉN, Lars. *Protein purification: Principles, high-resolution methods, and applications.* 2nd ed. Wiley-VCH Inc, 1998. 695 p. ISBN 0-47-118626-0.

KELLY, Brian D.; TANNATT, Molly; MAGNUSSON, Robert; HAGELBERG, Sigrid and BOOTH, James. Development and validation of an affinity chromatography step using a peptide ligand for cGMP production of factor VIII. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, August 2004, vol. 87, no. 3, p. 400-412.

KURODA, Shun'ichi; ITOH, Yasuaki; MIYAZAKI, Takeshi and FUJISAWA, Yukio. A supersensitive DOThybridization method: rapid and quantitative detection of host-derived DNA in recombinant products at the one picogram level. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, April 1988, vol. 152, no. 1, p. 9-14.

LAEMMLI, U.K. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, August 1970, vol. 227, no. 259, p. 680-685.

LAWS, George M. and ADAMS, Stephen P. Measurement of 8-OHdG in DNA by HPLC/ECD: The importance of

DNA purity. *BioTechniques*, January 1996, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 36-38.

LIGHTFOOT, E.N. and MOSCARIELLO, J.S. Bioseparations. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, August 2004, vol. 87, no. 3, p. 259-273.

NG, P. and MITRA, G. Removal of DNA contaminants from therapeutic protein preparations. *Journal of Chromatography A*, January 1994, vol. 658, no. 2, p. 459-463.

PENTÓN, E.; HERRERA, L.; MUCIO, V.; DUARTE, C.; GARCÍA, A.; RAMÍREZ, V.; BELDARRAÍN, A.; FONTIRROCHI, G.; NAZABAL, M.; GONZÁLEZ, M.; RUIZ, C.; IZQUIERDO, M.; PÉREZ, L.; SANTIAGO, A.; PADRÓN, G.; GARCÍA, J. and DE LA RIVA, G. *Procedure for the obtainment of the hepatitis B virus recombinant surface antigen with a higher immunogenic quality and its use as a vaccine*. Europe Patent Publication No. EP 480525, 1992.

PER, S.R.; AVERSA, C.R.; JOHNSTON, P.D.; KOPASZ, T.L. and SITO, A.F. Determining residual DNA levels: Comparison of hybridization with an alternative method. *BioPharm*, 1993, vol. 6, no. 9, p. 34-40.

PÉREZ, L.; LÓPEZ, S.; BELDARRAÍN, A.; ARENAL, D. and PENTON, E. Purification of recombinant Hepatitis B surface antigen (rec-rHBsAg) from *P. Pastoris*: A process development study. In: GALINDO, Enrique and RAMIREZ, Octavio R. eds. *Advances in Bioprocess Engineering*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1994, p. 241-246.

RATHORE, A.S.; SOBACKE, S.E.; KOCOT, T.J.; MORGAN, R.D.; DUFIELD, R.L. and MOZIER, N.M. Analysis for residual host cell proteins and DNA in process streams of a recombinant protein product expressed in *Escherichia coli* cells. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, August 2003, vol. 32, no. 6, p. 1199-1211.

RATHORE, Anurag Singh; KRISHNAN, Raj; TOZER, Stephanie; SMILEY, Dave; RAUSCH, Steve and SEELY, James E. Scaling down of biopharmaceutical unit operations - Part 2: Chromatography and filtration. *BioPharm International*, April 2005, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 58-64.

RHEMREV-BOOM, M.M.; YATES, M.; RUDOLPH, M. and RAEDTS, M. Immunoaffinity chromatography: a versatile tool for fast and selective purification, concentration, isolation and analysis. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, March 2001, vol. 24, no. 5-6, p. 825-833.

SADANA, A. *Bioseparation of proteins: Unfolding/Folding and Validations*. Academic Press, 1998, 346 p. ISBN 0-12-614040-5.

SAMBROOK, J.; FRITSCH, E. and MANIATIS, T. *Molecular cloning: A Laboratory manual*. 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1989, 1659 p. ISBN 0-87969-309-6.

SOFER, G. and HAGEL, L. Handbook of process chromatography: A guide to optimization, scale-up and validation. Academic Press, 1997, 387 p. ISBN 0-12-654266-X.

SOFER, G. Validation: Ensuring the accuracy of scaleddown chromatography models. *BioPharm*, 1996, vol. 9, no. 9, p. 51-54.

SUBRAMANIAN, A. Immunoaffinity chromatography. *Molecular Biotechnology*, January 2002, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 41-47.

TROUVIN, J.H. Development of specifications for biotechnology products-perspective from Europe. In: BROWN, Fred and FERNANDEZ, James eds. *Development of specifications for biotechnology pharmaceutical products*. Basel, Karger Publishers, 1997, vol. 91, p. 25-30.

WELLER, M.G. Immunochromatographic techniques – a critical review. *Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, March 2000, vol. 366, no. 6-7, p. 635-645.

WOODMAN, D.D. and PRICE, C.P. Estimation of serum total lipids. *Clinical Chimica Acta*, April 1972, vol. 38, no. 1, p. 39-43.

WORKMAN, W.E. Sample preparation and residual DNA analysis of biopharmaceuticals. *Pharmacopeia Forum*, 1995, vol. 21, p. 479-483.

WORLDHEALTHORGANIZATION(WHO).Requirement for hepatitisB vaccine made by recombinantDNA technique.Technical report series no.786, 1989.AvailablefromInternet:http://www.who.int/entity/biologicals/publications/trs/areas/vaccines/hepatitis/WHOTRS786A2.pdf.

YONEYAMA, T.; ZIBAI, Q. and MIYAMURA, T. A sensitive method for the detection of residual cell DNA in a recombinant hepatitis B vaccine prepared from Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Journal of Biological Standardization*, 1989, vol. 17, no. 4, p. 371-375.